

Implementing Privacy as Symmetry in Location-aware Systems

Anders Kofod-Petersen Espen Klæbo Jørgen Jervidalø
Kjetil Aaltvedt Magnus Romnes Trond Martin Nyhus

Second International Workshop on Combining Context
with Trust, Security, and Privacy
(CAT 2008)

June 16th, 2008



Overview



- 1 Background
 - Motivation
 - Similar Projects
 - Minimal Asymmetry
- 2 Implementation
 - Choice of Technology
 - Design
 - Implementation
- 3 Summary and Future Work
 - Summary
 - Future Work

Motivation



- Privacy is argued to be a main concern in (social) location-aware systems
- To construct truly *context-aware* systems, privacy issues must be overcome
- (Lack of) privacy can affect users' behaviour
- Many concepts are regarded as important, such as:
 - Anonymising or pseudonymising
 - Plausible deniability
 - Reciprocity
- Our main interest is developing a tool that allows us to investigate privacy and behaviour
- We have chosen to implement a location-aware system, using the *principle of minimal asymmetry*

Similar Projects



- Find My Friends
 - Two week project in co-operation with Accenture
 - Ultrasound positioning in *Samfundet* (63 microphones)
 - Privacy was handled *by invitation*
- FriendRadar
 - Location-aware friend system using WLAN
 - Implemented in Wireless Trondheim
 - Privacy was implemented as *minimal asymmetry*
- @School
 - Mobile collaborative learning system
 - Implemented using the WLAN system in Wireless Trondheim
 - Privacy was not explicitly addressed

Similar Projects



- Find My Friends
 - Two week project in co-operation with Accenture
 - Ultrasound positioning in *Samfundet* (63 microphones)
 - Privacy was handled *by invitation*
- FriendRadar
 - Location-aware friend system using WLAN
 - Implemented in Wireless Trondheim
 - Privacy was implemented as *minimal asymmetry*
- @School
 - Mobile collaborative learning system
 - Implemented using the WLAN system in Wireless Trondheim
 - Privacy was not explicitly addressed

Similar Projects



- Find My Friends
 - Two week project in co-operation with Accenture
 - Ultrasound positioning in *Samfundet* (63 microphones)
 - Privacy was handled *by invitation*
- FriendRadar
 - Location-aware friend system using WLAN
 - Implemented in Wireless Trondheim
 - Privacy was implemented as *minimal asymmetry*
- @School
 - Mobile collaborative learning system
 - Implemented using the WLAN system in Wireless Trondheim
 - Privacy was not explicitly addressed

Find My Friends



- Finding our friends at *Samfundet* during *UKA 2007*
- 1661 people with ultrasound positioning devices
- 207 users who answered the questionnaire
- 82% used the sender once or more
- 75% used terminals
- 55% would use a similar larger scale system
- Main finding: *If it's cool, privacy is not important!*

Find My Friends



- Finding our friends at *Samfundet* during *UKA 2007*
- 1661 people with ultrasound positioning devices
- 207 users who answered the questionnaire
- 82% used the sender once or more
- 75% used terminals
- 55% would use a similar larger scale system
- Main finding: *If it's cool, privacy is not important!*



- WLAN based location-aware positioning service in Wireless Trondheim
- Similar to *Find my Friends*
- Implemented *minimal asymmetry*
- 24 pupils used it for 3 weeks
- Research data is gathered through:
 - Data-log analysis
 - Questionnaire
- Main result: *QoS of the WLAN technology not sufficient*



- WLAN based location-aware positioning service in Wireless Trondheim
- Similar to *Find my Friends*
- Implemented *minimal asymmetry*
- 24 pupils used it for 3 weeks
- Research data is gathered through:
 - Data-log analysis
 - Questionnaire
- Main result: *QoS of the WLAN technology not sufficient*



- Models pupils and tasks by employing:
 - Dreyfus' levels of competence
 - Gardner's multiple intelligences
 - Hofstede's cultural dimensions
- Model constructed as stereotypes in the tradition of Rich
- Tested on 24 pupils in upper secondary school
- Research data is gathered through:
 - Data-log analysis
 - Questionnaire
- Main result is similar to *FriendRadar*: :
QoS of the WLAN technology not sufficient



- Models pupils and tasks by employing:
 - Dreyfus' levels of competence
 - Gardner's multiple intelligences
 - Hofstede's cultural dimensions
- Model constructed as stereotypes in the tradition of Rich
- Tested on 24 pupils in upper secondary school
- Research data is gathered through:
 - Data-log analysis
 - Questionnaire
- Main result is similar to *FriendRadar*: :
QoS of the WLAN technology not sufficient

Minimal Asymmetry



- The principle of minimal asymmetry attempts to tackle issues such as:
 - Mutual awareness
 - Disembodiment
 - Dissociation
- The main idea is to minimise the asymmetry of information flowing between *data owners* and *data users* by:
 - *Decreasing* the flow of information from data owners to collectors and users
 - *Increasing* the flow of information from data collectors and users back to data owners

Minimal Asymmetry



- The principle of minimal asymmetry attempts to tackle issues such as:
 - Mutual awareness
 - Disembodiment
 - Dissociation
- The main idea is to minimise the asymmetry of information flowing between *data owners* and *data users* by:
 - *Decreasing* the flow of information from data owners to collectors and users
 - *Increasing* the flow of information from data collectors and users back to data owners

Choice of Technology



- There are currently three protocols and models readily available:

XFN XHTML Friends Network

- Friendship is a *directional* relationship, thus asymmetric

FOAF Friend of a Friend

- Does not *explicitly* cover control of data issues

XMPP Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (Jabber)

- Is easily extensible and offers core functionality

Choice of Technology



- There are currently three protocols and models readily available:

XFN XHTML Friends Network

- Friendship is a *directional* relationship, thus asymmetric

FOAF Friend of a Friend

- Does not *explicitly* cover control of data issues

XMPP Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (Jabber)

- Is easily extensible and offers core functionality

Choice of Technology



- There are currently three protocols and models readily available:

XFN XHTML Friends Network

- Friendship is a *directional* relationship, thus asymmetric

FOAF Friend of a Friend

- Does not *explicitly* cover control of data issues

XMPP Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (Jabber)

- Is easily extensible and offers core functionality

Design



- A simple application that allows you to maintain a list of friends (and groups) and see their position on a map
- The system is designed as a server-client architecture, with a thin-client
- Communication is done through the GSM or UMTS networks
- Position is gathered from network operators' services
- All transmissions are uses the XMPP protocol
- It only supports a subset of XMPP's subscription states (*none* and *both*)

Implementation



- The system is implemented in Java and Python, using a relational database (PostgreSQL)
- Subscriptions are in both directions, that is both participants must agree to share *presence* and *location* information
- A user can (temporarily) make himself invisible, thus appearing *offline*
 - This will disable his ability to see others as well (preserving minimal asymmetry)
- Cancelling a subscription will make a user appear *offline* to others
 - Preserving minimal asymmetry and plausible deniability

Summary



- **Functionality (and *coolness*) outweighs privacy**
- Implementing minimal asymmetry might “hide” privacy from the user (in a good way)
- This implementation achieves minimal asymmetry by:
 - Enforcing bi-directional “invisibility”
 - Supporting directional cancelling of subscriptions
- The system uses (a subset of) standardised protocols
- The protocol is further extended to include contextual information

Summary



- Functionality (and *coolness*) outweighs privacy
- Implementing minimal asymmetry might “hide” privacy from the user (in a good way)
- This implementation achieves minimal asymmetry by:
 - Enforcing bi-directional “invisibility”
 - Supporting directional cancelling of subscriptions
- The system uses (a subset of) standardised protocols
- The protocol is further extended to include contextual information

Summary



- Functionality (and *coolness*) outweighs privacy
- Implementing minimal asymmetry might “hide” privacy from the user (in a good way)
- This implementation achieves minimal asymmetry by:
 - Enforcing bi-directional “invisibility”
 - Supporting directional cancelling of subscriptions
- The system uses (a subset of) standardised protocols
- The protocol is further extended to include contextual information

Summary



- Functionality (and *coolness*) outweighs privacy
- Implementing minimal asymmetry might “hide” privacy from the user (in a good way)
- This implementation achieves minimal asymmetry by:
 - Enforcing bi-directional “invisibility”
 - Supporting directional cancelling of subscriptions
- The system uses (a subset of) standardised protocols
- The protocol is further extended to include contextual information

Future Work



- In addition to the privacy implemented, some contextual information for the messages is also supported
- Messages between users can be tagged with *performatives* from *speech-act* as described by FIPA
- The server allows for a very high amount of logging
- This system should allow us to investigating user behaviour when using location-aware social system by tracing:
 - Physical connections
 - Social connections
 - Messaging patterns

Future Work



- In addition to the privacy implemented, some contextual information for the messages is also supported
- Messages between users can be tagged with *performatives* from *speech-act* as described by FIPA
- The server allows for a very high amount of logging
- This system should allow us to investigating user behaviour when using location-aware social system by tracing:
 - Physical connections
 - Social connections
 - Messaging patters

Future Work



- In addition to the privacy implemented, some contextual information for the messages is also supported
- Messages between users can be tagged with *performatives* from *speech-act* as described by FIPA
- The server allows for a very high amount of logging
- This system should allow us to investigating user behaviour when using location-aware social system by tracing:
 - Physical connections
 - Social connections
 - Messaging patterns